

TKHR**THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, L.L.P.**

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

100 Galleria Pkwy, NW
Suite 1750
Atlanta, GA 30339-5948
www.tkhr.comRECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 22 2005

Karen G. Hazzah
(770) 933-9500

karen.hazzah@tkhr.com

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

February 22, 2005

[190252-1710]

TO

Examiner Thong H. Vu

FAX: 703-746-5489
(703) 872-9306

TEL: 703.305.4643

FROM

Karen G. Hazzah

FAX: 770-951-0933

TEL: 770-933-9500

RE: Telephonic Interview

(Message) Examiner Vu: I am requesting a telephonic interview for Application Number 09/725,719, with the attached Proposed Agenda. Please call me at your earliest convenience to schedule a time and date for the interview.

Number of Pages (Including This Cover Sheet): - 2 - Page(s)
PLEASE ACKNOWLEDGE SAFE AND CLEAR RECEIPT OF ALL PAGES.

CONFIDENTIAL

The information in this facsimile message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or reproduction of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the address above via the United States Postal Service. Thank you.

INTERNATIONAL PATENT, TRADEMARK AND COPYRIGHT LAW AND RELATED LITIGATION

◆ Atlanta, Georgia ◆ Huntsville, Alabama ◆

Application No.: 09/725,719
Applicant: Dale Malik
Attorney Docket: 190252-1710

Art Unit: 2142
Examiner: VU, Thong H.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 22 2005

PROPOSED INTERVIEW AGENDA

Interview Participants

Jeffrey R. Kuester (Reg. 34,367)
Karen Hazzah (Reg. 48,472)
Examiner Thong H. Vu

Scheduled Date of Interview:
Not Yet Scheduled

Type of Interview: Telephonic

Items to be discussed:

- 1) Discuss differences between Emura reference and these elements of claim 1:
 - a) "searching a database of attachment files previously stored in the e-mail server for a copy of the attachment file from the received e-mail communication"
 - b) "if a copy of the attachment file is located in the e-mail server, then removing the attachment file from the e-mail communication"
- 2) Discuss differences between Emura reference and these elements of claim 7:
 - a) "comparing the extracted attachment file header information with header information from attachment files previously stored in the mail store to determine whether the attachment files received with the e-mail communications are duplicates of previously stored files"
 - b) "if an attachment file is a duplicate, storing a link in the mail store between the e-mail header information and the previously stored attachment file"
- 3) Discuss differences between Emura reference and this element of claim 15:
 - a) "after the e-mail attachment file checking software determines that the attachment files in received e-mail communications are duplicates of attachment files in the mail store"
- 4) Discuss miscellaneous related issues.

Respectfully submitted,

THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER
& RISLEY, L.L.P.

By:



Karen G. Hazzah, Reg. No. 48,472

100 Galleria Parkway, NW
Suite 1750
Atlanta, Georgia 30339-5948
Tel: (770) 933-9500
Fax: (770) 951-0933